



**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, CJ.**

**W. P. No.4196 of 1993**

**PETITIONERS**

1. Dayaram, aged 40 years, S/o Sarnam Singh Ahir.
2. Dharamchand, aged 35 years, S/o Shyamlal Bahelia.
3. Ashok Kumar, aged 35 years, S/o Ramlochan

All resident of Katkona Colliery, Tahsil Baikunthpur, District Sarguja, M.P.

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS**

1. South Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Baikunthpur, through Chairman-Cum Managing Director, Head Office Seepat Road, Bilaspur
2. General Manager, Katkona Colliery, South Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Katkona, Tahsil Baikunthpur, District Sarguja.
3. Superintendent of Mines, Katkona Colliery, South Eastern Coalfields Ltd., Katkona, Tahsil Baikunthpur, District Sarguja.

Writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Present: Shri Devendra Patel, counsel for the petitioners.  
Shri P.S. Koshy, counsel for the respondents.

**ORAL ORDER**  
**(Passed on 25<sup>th</sup> April, 2007)**

The petitioners in this petition are the workers of Katkona Colliery Canteen. They seek a direction to the respondents to consider their case for regularization in the light of the order passed by the Madhya Pradesh High Court in M.P. No. 685/87. It is the case of the petitioners that way back in the year 1985 they had made a representation to the respondents for their regularization which has not been decided as yet.

(2) In that view of the matter, I am of the opinion that if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider the aforesaid representation submitted

(83)

by the petitioners for their regularization in the light of the decision of Madhya Pradesh High Court in M.P. No. 685/87, no prejudice would be caused to any of the parties. Accordingly the following:-

Order

1. The petition is disposed of.
2. The respondents shall consider and decide the representation submitted by the petitioners in the year 1985 for their regularization in the light of the decision of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in W.P. No. 685/87, as expeditiously as possible, and they shall issue an endorsement to the petitioners.
3. All the contentions of the parties are left open.
4. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-  
Chief Justice